Oficina de Ciencia y Tecnología del Congreso de los Diputados

Oficina C

Green hydrogen as a fuel

Keys for its contribution to a decarbonised economy

Hydrogen produced using renewable energies is expected to play an essential role in the energy transition towards a decarbonised economy by 2050. Although its current main use is as a raw material in industry, hydrogen is a highly versatile fuel, which opens the door to a wide range of new applications. Its greatest appeal is as a replacement for fossil fuels. Spain is facing a series of challenges and opportunities in the deployment of this sector.

In the context of net-zero emissions, hydrogen has great potential as another component of the energy mix that will co-exist alongside other technologies.

Green hydrogen allows renewable energy to reach sectors in which direct electrification is difficult.

The current main use of hydrogen is as a raw material in industry, and it is produced from fossil sources without any measures to mitigate emissions. Experts indicate that its replacement by low-carbon hydrogen, principally green hydrogen, is a priority.

One of the great challenges is to reduce the production costs of green hydrogen and make it competitive.

Current European legislation does not cover new uses of hydrogen as an energetic material, which constitutes an obstacle for its entry to the market.

Hydrogen's value chain, including its production from renewable energies, is at an incipient phase for both national and global implementation.

Production method

Reports C are brief documents on subjects chosen by the Bureau of the Congress of Deputies that contextualise and summarise the available scientific evidence on the analysed subject. They also inform about areas of agreement, disagreement, unknowns, and ongoing discussions. The reports are drafted based on an in-depth review of the literature, supplemented by interviews with experts on the subject.

To produce this report Oficina C referenced 205 documents and consulted 27 experts in the subject. Of this multidisciplinary group, 55% belong to the field of physics and engineering sciences (materials science, applied physics, aeronautical engineering, environmental engineering, industrial engineering, mechanical engineering, chemical engineering and energy systems engineering); 26% come from life sciences (biology and chemistry) and 19% from social sciences and humanities (law, business management, economics, philosophy and psychology); 74% work in Spanish institutions or centres, whereas 26 % have affiliations abroad.

Oficina C is responsible for the publication of this report.

Researchers, scientists and experts consulted* (in alphabetical order)

Acar, Canan. Tenured professor, University of Twente. the Netherlands.

Aguado Alonso, Mónical. Director of Grid Integration Department of National Centre for Renewable Energies (Centro Nacional de Energías Renovables - CENER).

Alcaide Monterrubio, Francisco1. Lead researcher

- Project leader, CIDETEC.

Ballesteros Perdices, Mercedes1. Assistant Director general and Director of the Energy Department, Centre for Energy, Environmental and Technological Research (Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas - CIEMAT).

Bermúdez Menéndez, Jose Miguell. Energy technology analyst, International Energy Agency (IEA). France.

Brey Sánchez, Javier1. Chairman, Spanish Hydrogen Association (AeH2) Associate professor, Loyola University, Andalusia (ULOYOLA).

Celada-Casero, Carola1. Tenured scientist, National Centre for Metallurgical Research (Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Metalúrgicas (CENIM-CSIC).

Chica Lara, Antonio1. CSIC Researcher, Institute of Chemical Technology (Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Quimica - ITQ-CSIC). Coordinator, PTI- TRANSENER+, CSIC.

Dufour Andía, **Javier1**. Full Professor, Rey Juan Carlos University (URJC) Head of Systems Analysis Unit, IMDEA Energy Institute.

García Haro, Pedro1. Tenured professor, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería (ETSI), University of Seville.

Glenk, Gunther. Tenured professor, Mannheim Institute for Sustainable Energy Studies, University of Mannheim. Germany.

González García Conde, Antonio1. Head of Flight Physics Department, National Institute of Aerospace Technology (Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial – INTA). President, Spanish Technological Platform for Hydrogen (PTEH2).

Holgado Dones, Marina1. Technical Secretariat Coordinator, The Hydrogen Technology Collaboration Programme (IEA Hydrogen TCP). **Nieto Gallego, Emilio1**. General Manager, National Hydrogen Centre (Centro Nacional de Hidrógeno - CNH2).

Palacín Arizón, Fernandol. Managing Director, Foundation for the Development of New Hydrogen Technologies in Aragon (FHa).

Pastor Tejera, Elena1. Full Professor, University of La Laguna (ULL).

Peña Jiménez, Miguel Antonio1. Research scientist, Institute of Catalysis and Petrochemistry (ICP-CSIC).

Peña Llorente, Jose Ángel1. Full Professor, University of Zaragoza (UNIZAR). Assistant director, Engineering Research Institute of Aragon (I3A).

Puentes Fernández, Rosal. Specialist in Hydrogen and Gas Quality, European Network of Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSOG). Belgium.

Retuerto Millán, María1. Tenured scientist, Institute of Catalysis and Petrochemistry (ICP-CSIC).

Rojas Muñoz, Sergiol. Research professor, Institute of Catalysis and Petrochemistry (ICP-CSIC)

Sala Escarrabill, Roser1. Tenured scientist, Sociotechnical Research Centre (Centro de Investigación Socio-Técnica - CISOT - CIEMAT).

Sánchez Paredes, Paula1. Full Professor, University of Castilla-La Mancha (UCLM).

Serra Alfaro, Jose M. Research professor, Institute of Chemical Technology (Instituto de Tecnología Química -CSIC - Valencia Polytechnic University).

Sovacool, Benjamin. Professor, University of Sussex Business School. United Kingdom Director, Boston University Institute for Global Sustainability (IGS). United States.

Terrapon-Pfaff, Julia. Co-Head Research Unit International Energy Transitions, Wuppertal Institute for Climate, Environment and Energy. Germany.

Zarzuela Cepero, Miguel1. Projects manager, CIRCE Foundation.

* The experts have not declared any conflicts of interests.



¹ Specialists who also participated in the full or partial review of the report.

Green hydrogen as fuel

Introduction

The hydrogen value chain

Production of green hydrogen Storage and transport Traditional and potential uses

Challenges of green hydrogen

Energy efficiency: a jigsaw puzzle of options Towards an economy of scale and a global economy Dependence on critical materials Integration and co-existence of technologies Environmental impact Social and economic opportunities Regulation in a social and technological transition



Oficina C



Ver el resumen gráfico del informe en nuestra página web

Introducción

Green hydrogen technology has arisen in response to the search for alternatives to fossil fuel energies, and the need to meet the challenge of climate neutrality by 2050 and achieve Paris Agreement goals⁻³.

Current production and consumption of energy in all economic sectors represents over 75% of greenhouse gas in the European Union (EU)⁴.

Electrification: the process of substituting fossil fuels for electricity in the end uses of energy.

Energy mix: the combination of different energy sources to cover energy supply in a country.

To achieve decarbonisation, the EU is committed to developing an electricity system based on renewable sources and the direct **electrification** of all possible end uses³. This will involve new challenges. On the one hand, increasing the penetration of renewable energies in the **energy mix** and developing solutions to manage their variability (storing renewable energies when and where there is excess production for use when and where production does not satisfy demand). On the other, seeking renewable energy alternatives for sectors that are difficult to electrify (industrial processes that consume high-temperature heat, use in mobility for maritime, aviation and heavy land transport, domestic use, etc.)

Hydrogen enters the energy mix as a support tool to solve these challenges. This element can be directly used for applications that are currently difficult to electrify, can help in managing the variability of renewables when stored as hydrogen and, in addition, opens the way to the possibility of reconverting hydrogen into electrical energy and delivering it to the grid⁵⁻⁷.

It is also a very abundant element that is not naturally found in appreciable quantities in a free state, which means it must be produced by means of an energetic contribution⁸. Traditionally, hydrogen is generated from fossil fuels without measures to mitigate emissions, in processes which give off CO2. Its main use is in industry, as a raw material. The novel aspect of current energy transition is the production of low-carbon hydrogen, mainly from renewable energy sources. This is why hydrogen produced without carbon emissions is known as "green".

Electrolysis of water: the decomposition of the water molecule into oxygen and hydrogen using electricity.

As there is no universal definition of green hydrogen^{7,9-11}, for the purposes of this report, green hydrogen is defined as production of the element using **electrolysis of water** with electricity from renewable energy sources. The process consists of decomposing the water molecule into oxygen and hydrogen using electricity¹². This reaction, performed by a system called an electrolyser, does not generate CO2 emissions¹².

Although the use of green hydrogen as a raw material and fuel has great potential, its production, storage, transport and new uses are at an incipient stage of development.



Oficina de Ciencia y Tecnología del Congreso de los Diputados

Oficina C

Hydrogen is one of the technological means that can contribute to a decarbonisation of the world economy by 2050 ¹³⁻¹⁵. With this goal, the European Strategy for Hydrogen plans to produce up to 10 million tonnes of this gas per year by 2030³. However, after the Russian invasion of Ukraine, the European Commission proposed an increase in its production and imports, to reach 20 million tonnes/year by 2030^{16,17}. The Renewable Hydrogen Roadmap for Spain expects to meet 10% of the EU objective in the national production capacity of green hydrogen¹⁸. Spain has a great capacity to generate renewable energies at low cost, which favours its positioning as one of the European countries with greatest potential for the production and export of green hydrogen¹⁸.

The hydrogen value chain

Energy carrier: a substance that needs an energy supply for its production and can be used to store energy until required for use. The role of green hydrogen in energy transition is based on its production and use as a raw material and **energy carrier** for sectors where there are no (or extremely limited) other clean, efficient energy solutions^{8,19-21}. Its value chain includes the whole range of necessary activities for the production, storage, transport and end use of green hydrogen²². This element's versatility enables it to be processed in different ways (pure in gaseous or liquid state or transforming it into other chemical substances) and used by such widely differing sectors as industry, mobility, electricity production or domestic use⁶.

Its contribution to the energy sector explains why it can be directly consumed (as a raw material or a fuel) or function as an energy reservoir, helping manage the variability of renewable energies²³. It also requires an infrastructure to transport it to the point of use and, as a gas, can be injected into the gas grid²⁴. All of which highlight the versatility of the hydrogen ecosystem.

Production of green hydrogen

The production of green hydrogen, based on decomposing water into its oxygen and hydrogen molecules, requires an energy supply based on renewable energy sources¹², which may be wind, hydroelectric or tidal power, solar or geothermal energy^{25,26}. In this context, Spain has an added value thanks to its potential to generate wind power and solar energy⁷. In 2021, renewable technologies produced 47% of the electricity generated in Spain and the two main sources of electricity generation were the wind and the sun²⁸. The Comprehensive National Plan for Energy and Climate 2021–2030 (PNIEC), the Long-term Decarbonisation Strategy 2050 and the Renewable Hydrogen Roadmap necessarily link the deployment of green hydrogen to an increase in the generation of renewable energies^{2,10,18,29,30}.

Electrolysers are the equipment needed to produce hydrogen. There are four main electrolyser technologies. The differences between them are the degree of energy efficiency they achieve, the medium in which the water molecule split is produced, the working temperature, their materials and components, and their technology readiness levels (**Key Point 1**)⁷. Other low-emission processes to obtain hydrogen exist that could be used to supplement green hydrogen production during energy transition (**Key Point 2**).

Key point 1. Types of electrolysers for green hydrogen production.

These commercially available technologies exist but still require research to improve them:

• Alkaline water electrolyser: This type of electrolyser works in alkaline conditions at low temperature. It is the most mature of the technologies in use, and currently the cheapest³¹. However, the equipment is large, its operation is not flexible, and the hydrogen produced requires conditioning before it can be used³².

• Polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolyser: This uses a proton exchange membrane as the electrolyte and works in acidic conditions at low temperature. They are compact, produce very pure hydrogen and operate more quickly and flexibly than their alkaline equivalent^{32,33}. This technology requires precious metals, which increases its cost³⁴.

The following technologies are incipient and at the research and development stage:

• Solid oxide electrolyser cell (SOEC): The electrolyte is formed of low-cost, ceramic materials. This equipment can operate reversibly to produce hydrogen or electricity. It differs from the other technologies in its use of high working temperatures (700-850 °C) and can reach a high degree of energy efficiency^{7,35}. Its main disadvantage is its association with high temperatures, which compromise the durability and stability of the materials^{32,36}.

• Anion exchange membrane (AEM): uses an anion exchange membrane as its electrolyte. It does not contain any precious metals, which means it has a low cost^{37,38}. This technology combines the advantages of alkaline water and polymer membrane electrolysers, and the main challenges are to improve its efficiency, stability, conductivity and cost^{39.}

The consumption of hydrogen in Spain was around 500,000 tonnes in 2019, and it was used as a raw material for industrial processes^{18,29}. The hydrogen generated to cover current demand mainly comes from natural gas and is known as "grey hydrogen" as its production emits CO₂. A further step is "blue hydrogen", the name given when the CO2 generated during grey hydrogen production is captured and stored⁴⁰.

Blue hydrogen is contemplated in the short term as a solution and incentive to increase demand for hydrogen and promote escalation of the technology in countries with reserves of natural gas and subterranean CO₂ storage capacity^{7,41,42}. Since there is a degree of social rejection within Spain to the geological storage of CO₂⁴³, the Renewable Hydrogen Roadmap, in addition to subsidies under the Strategic Project for Recovery and Transformation (PERTE) for Renewable Energies, Renewable Hydrogen and Storage, directly focus on the promotion and introduction of green hydrogen as their main strategy^{18,44}.



Key point 2. Other ways of producing low-emission hydrogen

• **Hydrogen from fossil fuels with CO**₂ **capture (blue hydrogen):** although the production of hydrogen from fossil fuels generates CO₂ emissions, the possibility exists of minimising them by means of CO₂ capture techniques²⁷. However, the hydrogen produced using this technology can only be considered low emission when extremely high percentages of CO₂ are captured and applied to all flows of the process that contain CO₂, ensuring that the captured CO₂ is permanently stored²⁷. Minimising fugitive emissions of methane in the supply of fossil fuel is also essential as this constitutes a significant part of the emissions generated in fossil-fuel hydrogen production⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷.

• **Biomass hydrogen:** this refers to the production of hydrogen from the waste materials of agricultural crops, forest waste, the organic part of solid urban waste, animal waste, etc⁴⁸. Unlike fossil fuels, biomass CO₂ emissions come from carbon previously absorbed from the atmosphere by growing plants. For this reason, biomass may be zero-carbon emission when it is produced in compliance with strict sustainability criteria²¹. Biomass hydrogen can be produced using thermochemical processes like gasification^{49,50}, or biological processes based on micro-organism fermentation⁵¹.

• **Hydrogen from splitting water molecules:** in addition to electrolysis, the other production technologies that decompose water are still at an incipient stage of development but have great potential for the final phases of the energy transition⁴¹. In these cases, splitting the water molecule is achieved using direct sunlight (photolysis), thermal energy (thermal decomposition) or using micro-organisms (biophotolysis)^{21,62–64}.

Storage and transport

These are essential stages in the management of worldwide demand for hydrogen. Nevertheless, they contribute to increasing the cost, energy consumption and CO² emissions in the value chain⁵⁵.

The versatility of hydrogen enables the design of optimum combinations of storage and transport, taking into account factors like the distance between the points of production and consumption, storage time, amount and final use¹⁸.

The hydrogen produced can be compressed and stored in a gaseous state or liquefied to obtain liquid hydrogen⁵⁶. Another option is to combine and transform it into different chemical substances^{57,58}. These may be presented in gaseous (synthetic methane), liquid (ammonia, liquid organic hydrogen carriers and synthetic liquid fuels) or solid (hydrides) states^{55,59}.

Small-scale storage for short-term use usually employs high-pressure tanks (gaseous hydrogen) or solid materials⁸. Liquid storage is suitable on a large-scale in the short term⁵⁶. For the long-term, however, the tendency is to opt for geological storage such as salt caverns, aquifers and exhausted natural gas or oil deposits (gaseous hydrogen), although these options are still at the development stage⁶⁰. In Spain, the scientific community has identified the hydrographic basins of the Duero, Ebro and Guadalquivir as the areas with greatest potential for subterranean hydrogen storage⁶¹⁻⁶³.

On the other hand, transporting hydrogen implies the use of lorries, trains, boats and pipelines⁶⁴. For short distances and small amounts, gaseous hydrogen can be transported to its final destination in tanks¹⁸. For longer distances and larger amounts, it is recommended to use pipelines¹⁸. The use of the existing network of gas pipelines would require technical adaptation of both materials and components since hydrogen may accelerate degradation⁶⁵. In cases where technical adaptation is not possible and/or the demand for natural gas continues, new hydrogen pipelines can be built^{65,66}. It is currently permitted to inject up to 5% hydrogen into the Spanish gas network, so the mix of hydrogen and natural gas can be directly consumed at end use^{18,67}. However, the combination of gases implies a loss of the intrinsic value of green hydrogen in the mix, because no mature technology exists to separate the two gasses at the point of use¹⁸.

For long distances, recommendations are to use sea transportation, in which hydrogen is transported as a liquid, ammonia or liquid organic carriers²⁷. Spain has the potential to export hydrogen as it has access to both the Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic Ocean¹⁸ and is connected with the rest of Europe via the Pyrenees⁶⁶.

Traditional and potential uses

Worldwide demand for hydrogen in 2020 reached 94 million tonnes, almost exclusively destined for industrial use⁶⁸, and generated 900 million tonnes of CO₂ emissions²⁶⁵. In this context, green hydrogen could start to replace the fossil-fuel hydrogen currently employed in industry and be used as a fuel for new uses:

• **Green hydrogen as a raw material:** it is employed for the traditional industrial consumption of hydrogen (oil refining⁶⁹, as a chemical to produce ammonia and methanol^{70,71}, etc). In these applications, the novel aspect resides in substituting the currently used grey hydrogen with green hydrogen. An emerging use is as a substitute for coal in the iron and steel industry⁷². Another novel application promotes its application as a raw material to generate synthetic fuels that do not come from fossil fuel carbons such as methanol or methane ammonia, **biofuels**⁷³ and other liquid hydrocarbons^{7,74}

Biofuel: fuel that is produced from organic waste and biomass.



• **Green hydrogen as a fuel:** it has the potential for use in obtaining energy (1) in industrial processes that require high temperatures⁶⁸ (iron and steel industry^{75,76} cement⁷⁷, glass⁷⁸, etc.), (2) in the mobility sector, particularly in maritime transport⁷⁹, aviation and heavy goods transport, (3) as an energy storage system for the electric grid when renewable energy production does not meet demand⁶ and (4) for domestic use in boilers by inclusion of hydrogen in the gas system⁸⁰. For certain industrial applications, the combined use of hydrogen as a raw material and as a fuel is being considered (iron and steel industry⁸¹).

Challenges of green hydrogen

Energy efficiency: a jigsaw puzzle of options

In the context of net-zero emissions, hydrogen has great potential as another component of the energy mix^{15,82}. Energy transition requires an efficient management of energy¹⁰ that involves a collective reduction of energy consumption, an increase in use of renewables, diversification of the energy mix (including other low-carbon technologies) and direct electrification of as many uses as possible. In line with this, the objective is to use green hydrogen with a double function: as a tool to decarbonise the uses that currently cannot be directly electrified due to technical and/or economic reasons, and as an energy reservoir for renewables^{2,15,29,82-85}.

One important area is the identification of the priority applications for green hydrogen in each country^{27,86,87}, because its indiscriminate use could slow down the energy transition and dilute decarbonisation efforts²⁷. Although, technically speaking, hydrogen can be employed in different sectors, we should not lose sight of the fact that its production, transport and conversion involve the use of energy^{7,88}. In particular, the production of green hydrogen requires renewable energies that could be directly, and therefore more efficiently, employed for other end uses⁶⁸. This is why experts advise consideration of the following general factors to prioritise its use: the technological maturity of the applications, potential volume of the hydrogen demand and capacity to reduce greenhouse gases⁶⁸.

As for its application in Spain, the community of experts indicate that its use in industry – the main demand for hydrogen^{16,18} – has high priority, given the current lack of clean alternatives for decarbonisation⁶⁸. Another priority is its introduction in land transport, particularly for heavy goods, as this is one of the sectors that consumes most energy (38% of the total national energy consumption in 2019) and produces most CO_2 emissions^{29,89}. In the case of the Balearic and Canary Islands, the introduction of green hydrogen could contribute to their transition to a 100% renewable energy sources economy (**Key Point 3**).

Key point 3. Island territories with 100% renewable energies

Experts indicate that green hydrogen-based solutions offer an opportunity for the territories of the Canaries and the Balearic Islands to achieve energy independence^{90,91}. Given the physical restrictions and access to energy in these territories, green hydrogen has a significant role to play in the temporary storage of electricity¹⁸.

The island of Majorca is currently a European reference point in transformation to a decarbonised economy thanks to the GREEN HYSLAND project, which aims to make it the first H₂ hub in the south-west of Europe. With this objective, the necessary infrastructure is being developed to produce green hydrogen from solar energy and distribute it to the island's tourism, transport, industrial and energy sectors, including injection into the gas network to generate green energy and heat at the end point of use⁹². The latest report of the Spanish Technological Platform for Hydrogen provides a full list of the green hydrogen projects in which Spain participates⁹³.

The Long–Term Decarbonisation Strategy 2050 and the Comprehensive National Plan for Energy and Climate 2021– 2030 propose uncoupling economic growth from energy consumption^{2,10}. Among the decarbonisation objectives for 2050 are the use of 100% renewable energies in the electric mix and 97% in the whole energy system^{2,29}. It has been suggested that this increase in renewable energies is essential for direct electrification of end uses, and for the generation and application of green hydrogen in cases where electrification is difficult³. Increasing the use of renewable energies implies managing a higher amount of excess energy production at given moments of the day and times of the year⁹⁴. In this context, green hydrogen can support the management of the variability of renewable energies by serving as storage for use at times of peak demand for electricity^{62,94-97}.

With this in mind, the integration of green hydrogen requires intelligent implementation to increase the system's global efficiency (**Key Point 4**)⁵⁶. Setting up a value chain for each project calls for individual studies because there are different types of green hydrogen production technologies, end uses, infrastructure, etc.⁹⁸. The Hydrogen Strategy of the Netherlands aims to conduct a study of its territory to achieve an optimum roll-out of hydrogen infrastructure, taking into account the location of its industrial fabric⁹⁹.



Key point 4. A change of paradigm: smart networks

The traditional energy system is experiencing a change in paradigm, with a move towards a more electrified system based on renewables, managed digitally via smart networks¹⁰⁰.

The goal is to optimise the production and distribution of electricity and achieve a better balance between producers and consumers¹⁰⁰. In the traditional energy system, the flow of energy is one way, from the point of production to the point of consumption¹⁰¹. In the new system, the flow of energy and information is two-way, between energy consumers and producers, and it is managed by a central control system^{101,102}. Consumers also take an active role as energy producers (with solar panels on buildings, among others)¹⁰³. The Citizens' Climate Assembly of Spain (Asamblea Ciudadana para el Clima) has published a series of recommendations to promote the active role of consumers in generating energy and taking a responsible role in its use, for instance, with self-consumption of electricity¹⁰⁴.

For all of these reasons, the system's degree of complexity and flexibility increases in the attempt to achieve an efficient use of electrical energy for use in transport, industry, buildings and to produce other energies^{100,205}. Hydrogen is an element that brings even greater flexibility to the system thanks to its capacity to store energy and manage the variability of renewable energies 56. Finally, the change of paradigm is heavily connected with digitalisation, which makes it necessary to increase the cybersecurity of smart networks to avoid shortfalls in supply and the threat of cyberattacks¹⁰⁶⁻¹¹⁰.

Towards an economy of scale and a global economy

One of the great challenges of energy transition is to reduce the production costs of green hydrogen and make it competitive⁷. In 2021 worldwide, green hydrogen was between two and five times more expensive than blue hydrogen^{65,11}. Projections made prior to the Russian invasion of Ukraine indicated that in Spain green could become cheaper than blue hydrogen by 2026²⁷. However, with the high prices of gas seen in 2022, green hydrogen is close to becoming the cheapest option for producing hydrogen in many regions of the world, as long as production capacities are available⁶⁵.

In any case, since the price of natural gas could decrease again in the long term, experts indicate that it is necessary to reduce the costs of generating renewable energies, which are responsible for most of green hydrogen's production costs^{65,96,112-114}. In addition, they note that a commitment to research and innovation would allow diversification of hydrogen technologies, meaning they could reach commercial maturity and, together with a reduction in the costs of renewables, the technology could be deployed on a large scale^{18,115}. These measures could cut green hydrogen production costs by 85%⁷.

Alongside production costs, it is also important to bear in mind the costs of transporting hydrogen. These may increase with the large-scale, centralised production of hydrogen (which would lower production costs) far away from the point of end use¹⁸. Conversely, the small-scale, decentralised production of hydrogen implies higher production costs, but reduces transport costs as it is closer to the points of consumption⁹⁸. So, the location of the hydrogen value chain infrastructure is an important consideration for cost optimisation⁹⁸.

This situation is similar from a worldwide perspective⁸⁶. Green hydrogen can be produced more economically in places with abundant renewable resources, space for solar and wind power plants, and access to water²⁷. Its development and introduction will therefore have an effect on the geopolitical energy trade, in which Spain has the potential to become energetically self-sufficient and an exporter of hydrogen^{18,27}.

Dependence on critical materials

Energy transition is conditioned by the availability of critical raw materials, which could mean that dependence on fossil fuels becomes a dependence on minerals¹⁰⁶. Minerals are essential components of the technologies that generate and store renewable energies and hydrogen. Electric batteries require lithium, nickel, cobalt, manganese and graphite; turbines need rare earths; electricity facilities use copper and aluminium, and electrolysers and fuel cells employ platinum, iridium, nickel and zirconium ^{116,117}. The majority of these raw materials are considered critical because of their economic importance and supply risk^{118,119}. It is also predicted that the current demand for them will quadruple in order to achieve the 2050 decarbonisation goals¹¹⁶.

In this context, dependence on minerals implies the creation of a new international collaboration network to guarantee global supply, since deposits are a limited resource and concentrated in extremely specific geographical areas^{106,118}. The scientific community is therefore researching how to diversify the types of materials used and searching for others that are cheaper and more plentiful¹²⁰⁻¹²⁵. There are also proposals to reduce the amount of materials in equipment^{126,127}, increase their stability and durability¹²⁸ and foster recycling¹²⁹. All of these measures are part of the ecodesign strategy, an essential component within the circular economy framework to guarantee the system's sustainability^{129,130}.

Integration and living with technology

It is predicted that the integration of green hydrogen technology will occur progressively within the current ecosystem and will live alongside other technologies in multiple sectors, such as the:

Gas system: In Spain, it is currently permitted to inject up to 5% of hydrogen into gas pipelines¹⁸. Higher amounts would require modification of the natural gas network's materials, definition of new technical and safety limits, adaptation of end-consumer equipment (boilers and turbines for domestic and industrial use) and harmonisation of regulatory management of the system at national and European levels^{131,132}.



Hydrogen refuelling station: a filling station where hydrogen is stored, distributed and dispensed for use in vehicles. Hydrogen may or may not be generated at the filling station itself.



In certain cases, a point may be reached at which surpassing a given percentage of hydrogen concentrations is not viable for technical or economic reasons¹³¹.

One possible solution is the creation of hydrogen pipelines for the exclusive distribution of hydrogen. Globally, 90% of operational hydrogen pipelines are in Europe and the United States¹³³. The future of decarbonising the gas network therefore contemplates the co-existence of gas pipelines to transport other renewable gases, such as biomethane, alongside hydrogen pipelines (adapted from the existing gas network or newly built) to serve the needs of each region^{9,86,134-138}.

Mobility: For light vehicle land transport the co-existence of various technologies is expected: vehicles with internal combustion engines that use renewable fuels, battery electric vehicles and electric vehicles with green hydrogen fuel cells^{139,140}. The last two are the most advanced forms of decarbonisation in the sector, and both require the deployment of an infrastructure of charging points as well as **hydrogen refuelling stations** to supply energy^{139,141,142}.

There are pros and cons associated with each technology; electric vehicles that use hydrogen fuel cells have greater autonomy than those with electric batteries and require less time to refuel¹⁴³. Currently the principal disadvantage is the high cost

of producing green hydrogen¹⁴¹, and a lower efficiency of the whole process compared to storing energy in batteries¹⁴¹. On the other hand, electric vehicles with a battery, that directly use electricity, clearly have a more advanced position on the market, both for vehicles and in infrastructure of charging points¹⁴⁴. However, although great advances have been made in batteries, their autonomy is lower, and their charging times are longer¹⁴¹. Despite the debate on whether they compete with or are complementary to each other^{141,144–146}, both battery electric vehicles and green hydrogen fuel cell electric vehicles are considered suitable options for decarbonisation, which means that the choice of one or the other will depend on the context and needs of each consumer^{143,146}.

Environmental impact

Experts indicate that the transition towards green hydrogen implies a saving in CO₂ emissions⁸⁵ since in most applications its use only generates water¹⁴⁷, avoiding direct emissions of contaminant gases¹⁴⁸. However, the current low-carbon-emission technologies are not automatically green¹⁴⁹. Uses that require the combustion of hydrogen, such as the combustion of fossil fuels, can also generate nitrogen oxides, which are greenhouse gases¹⁵⁰.

In the same line, a full analysis of its value chain shows that there is a carbon footprint associated with the CO₂ emissions generated during the extraction of materials, the functioning of renewable energy power plants and the equipment involved in the production and storage of hydrogen, transport to the point of consumption etc.¹⁵¹⁻¹⁵³. Even so, comparison of the full value chain of the different hydrogen technologies shows that green hydrogen has a much lower carbon footprint and much lower total consumption of energy than grey hydrogen, or than the fossil fuels it replaces in different applications¹⁵⁴⁻¹⁵⁷.

Another important point is prevention of hydrogen leaks into the atmosphere during its production, storage, transport and use. This consists of avoiding potential atmospheric changes that might affect air quality (variations in concentrations of methane, ozone, water vapour, etc)^{150,158-160}. Although uncertainty exists on atmospheric impact, recent scientific studies show that a global warming impact exists, though it is quite limited¹⁶⁰.

In terms of global water consumption, it is estimated that the transition to a hydrogen economy would require a lower volume than what is currently required in the production and use of fossil fuels^{161,162}. Nevertheless, on a local scale, the areas with the greatest production potential for green hydrogen are also regions with high water stress, as occurs in Spain^{27,163}. To avoid consuming fresh water in these regions, the production of green hydrogen from desalinated water is under consideration¹⁶⁴. Another option is the direct use of sea water, which is at a developmental stage and faces numerous hurdles¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁷⁰. All things considered, the implementation of local projects requires detailed case studies to achieve a sustainable development that covers water consumption related to the energy and food production sectors.

Social and economic opportunities

The European interest in hydrogen technologies has undergone exponential growth in recent years⁸². Since the launch of the European Strategy for Hydrogen in 2020³ various member states have published their national strategies in the field^{18,82,99,172,173}. Although the EU considers electrolysis a strategic opportunity for the export of technology⁸² and actively participates in the publication of patents and scientific papers on hydrogen technologies, major competitors like China, Japan, South Korea or the United States are currently leading the field¹⁷⁴.

Meanwhile, the Renewable Hydrogen Roadmap indicates that green hydrogen represents an opportunity to foster the creation of qualified work, stimulate the economy, modernise industry, promote competitiveness, improve energy security and support research and innovation in Spain¹⁸. National interest in the sector is already evident from the growth of R&D&i organisations and companies that conduct activities in this element's value chain^{175,176}. Due to the fact that the green hydrogen is still at an initial phase, it would be recommendable to train experts and users in hydrogen technologies to foster the optimum deployment of the sector^{177,178}.

On the other hand, its development opens the doors to a geopolitical market with new participants¹⁷⁹ among whom Spain has the potential to achieve energy independence and become an energy exporter for countries in the north of Europe^{27,172}. In this context, improving electricity interconnection and the creation of a hydrogen infrastructure interconnection with the continent are two key factors to enable energy transactions²⁹.



Oficina de Ciencia y Tecnología del Congreso de los Diputados

Oficina C

Likewise, in order to make the energy transition towards a green hydrogen economy sustainable, in addition to environmental questions, the technological, economic and social dimensions must be taken into account^{154, 180 181}. Attention to these matters is relevant to minimise or avoid current inequalities and avoid their transference alongside the new energy transition¹⁸². Inequalities may be related to demography (gender, race, age, socio-economic class), space (urban or rural areas) or time (between generations or for future generations) and may affect the natural environment^{149,183-185}. Various indicators exist to analyse the social impact of energy projects worldwide¹⁸⁶. In the case of green hydrogen, this depends on the actors involved in the production, transport and end use of hydrogen (including the origin of the materials and energy, technological production platforms, intermediaries, industrial applications and and use), as well as contributions from promoting institutional, financial and economic actors^{139,187-189}.

Regulation in a social and technological transition

Guarantee of origin system: the system that enables provision of evidence to the end client that a determined amount of energy has been produced from renewable sources. One of the main regulatory bottlenecks is the lack of a universal definition of green hydrogen⁸². Although Spain has the goal of becoming a hydrogen exporter¹⁸, European projections indicate that on the whole, Europe will be a net importer^{27.86.143}. This means that it will be necessary to have a universal definition of green hydrogen to make transactions on the international market possible^{139,190}. Likewise, work is under way on creating a **guarantee of origin system** to ensure clean origin throughout the value chain^{18,64,82}.

New uses of hydrogen as an energetic material are not covered by current European legislation, which is an obstacle for the entry of this product to the market⁷⁴. In addition, experts indicate that regulations, codes and international standards need to be harmonised for the sector to take off⁷⁴. Today's international standards cover the current uses of hydrogen¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹⁴. In order to ensure the safety of new uses, it will be necessary to undertake further studies and widen the scope of standards^{55,191,195,196}. In the case of Spain, various studies show that the applicable safety and environmental impact controls are restrictive and appropriate for industrial activity projects, regardless of the hydrogen production method (with or without CO₂ emissions), the scale of production (small scale or industrial) its end use (industry, mobility or integration with other energy sectors)^{197,198}. All of which slow down the deployment of small-scale projects or new applications, such as hydrogen refuelling stations with *in situ* hydrogen production¹⁹⁷. The Renewable Hydrogen Roadmap identifies these obstacles and proposes a series of lines of action to simplify administrative procedures and eliminate regulatory hurdles to production of the gas¹⁸.

In conclusion, energy transition depends on the expectations, acceptance and behaviour related with hydrogen technologies of all actors involved (the political community, market agents and society)^{189,199,200}. In order to foster a social and technological transition that welcomes the uses and infrastructures related with this resource and generates a cultural change, general recommendations are to involve the population^{201,202}. The attitude to hydrogen in Spain is positive, although this could easily change given that familiarity with the technology is still low²⁰³. So, information and involvement campaigns would be a suitable tool for the current initial stage of hydrogen technologies²⁰³. In this context, scientists highlight the importance of dealing with society's perceptions and related feelings of its risk, costs and benefits to promote confidence in this technology^{204,205}. These factors influence the path to the introduction of green hydrogen within a common, sustainable technological framework for the environment that is economically viable and socially responsible.

How to cite

Oficina de Ciencia y Tecnología del Congreso de los Diputados. Informe C: Green hydrogen as fuel. 2022. doi: 10.57952/7pdy-6q82

Oficina C Team (in alphabetical order)

Ana Elorza. Oficina C Coordinator at the Fundación Española para la Ciencia y la Tecnología.

Izaskun Lacunza*. Oficina C Coordinator at the Fundación Española para la Ciencia y la Tecnología.

Maite Iriondo de Hond*. Scientific and Technological Evidence Officer

Rüdiger Ortiz-Álvarez. Scientific and Technological Evidence Officer

Sofía Otero. Scientific and Technological Evidence Officer

Jose L. Roscales*. Scientific and Technological Evidence Officer

Cristina Fernández-García. Scientific Community and Society Connections Office

*contacts for this report



Bibliography

1. Organización de Naciones Unidas (ONU). Acuerdo de 18. Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto París de la convención marco de las Naciones Unidas Demográfico (MITECO). Hoja de ruta del hidrógeno: una sobre el cambio climático. 2015.

2. Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto 19. Ball M, Weeda M. The hydrogen economy – vision or Demográfico (MITECO). Estrategia a largo plazo para reality? Int J Hydrog Energy 2015;40(25):7903–7919; una economía española moderna, competitiva y https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2015.04.032. climáticamente neutra en 2050. 2020.

climate-neutral Europe. 2020.

4. Comisión Europea. Comunicación de la Comisión al 21. Abdin Z, Zafaranloo A, Rafiee A, et al. Hydrogen as an Parlamento Europeo, al Consejo Europeo, al Consejo, al energyvector.RenewSustainEnergyRev2020;120:109620; Comité Económico y Social Europeo y al Comité de las https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109620. Regiones. El pacto verde europeo. 2019.

5. Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking. Hydrogen roadmap Europe: a sustainable pathway for the European energy transition. 2019.

6. International Energy Agency (IEA). The future of hydrogen: seizing today's opportunities. Paris; 2019.

7. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Green hydrogen cost reduction: scaling up electrolysers to meet the 1.50 C climate goal. Abu Dhabi; 2020.

8. Abe JO, Popoola API, Ajenifuja E, et al. Hydrogen energy, economy and storage: review and recommendation. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(29):15072–15086; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.068.

9. European Commission. Commission Delegated Regulation Supplementing Directive (EU) 2018/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council by establishing a Union methodology setting out detailed rules for the production of renewable liquid and gaseous transport fuels of non-biological origin. 2022.

10. Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto Demográfico (MITECO). Plan nacional integrado de energía y clima 2021-2030. 2020.

11. Velazquez Abad A, Dodds PE. Green hydrogen characterisation initiatives: definitions, standards, guarantees of origin, and challenges. Energy 2020;138:111300; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. Policy enpol.2020.111300

12. Ursúa A, Gandía LM, Sanchis P. Hydrogen production 29. International Energy Agency (IEA). Spain 2021 Energy from water electrolysis: current status and future trends. Proc IEEE 2012;100(2):410-426; https://doi.org/10.1109/ JPROC.2011.2156750.

13. Hydrogen Council, McKinsey & Company. Hydrogen for net zero: a critical cost-competitive energy vector. 2021.

14. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). World 31. Grigoriev SA, Fateev VN, Millet P. 4.18 - Alkaline energy transitions outlook: 1.5°C pathway. Abu Dhabi; electrolysers. En: Comprehensive renewable energy 2022.

15. International Energy Agency (IEA). Net zero by 2050 - A roadmap for the global energy sector. 2021.

16. Comisión Europea. Comunicación de la Comisión al 32. Schmidt O, Gambhir A, Staffell I, et al. Future cost and Parlamento Europeo, al Consejo Europeo, al Consejo, performance of water electrolysis: an expert elicitation al Comité Económico y Social Europeo de las Regiones: study. Int J Hydrog Energy 2017;42(52):30470–30492; REPowerEU: Acción conjunta para una energía más https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.10.045. asequible, segura y sostenible. 2022.

17. Comisión Europea. Anexos de la comunicación de la review on PEM water electrolysis. Int J Hydrog Energy Comisión al Parlamento Europeo, al Consejo Europeo, al 2013;38(12):4901-4934; Consejo, al Comité Económico y Social Europeo de las ijhydene.2013.01.151. Regiones: Plan REPowerEU. 2022.

apuesta por el hidrógeno renovable. 2020.

20. Bockris JOM. The hydrogen economy: its history. 3. European Commission. A hydrogen strategy for a Int J Hydrog Energy 2013;38(6):2579–2588; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2012.12.026.

22. Ishimoto Y, Voldsund M, Nekså P, et al. Large-scale production and transport of hydrogen from Norway to Europe and Japan: value chain analysis and comparison of liquid hydrogen and ammonia as energy carriers. Int J Hydrog Energy 2020;45(58):32865-32883; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.09.017.

23. Stöckl F, Schill W-P, Zerrahn A. Optimal supply chains and power sector benefits of green hydrogen. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):14191; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92511-6.

24. labidine Messaoudani Z, Rigas F, Binti Hamid MD, et al. Hazards, safety and knowledge gaps on hydrogen transmission via natural gas grid: a critical review. 2016; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.07.171.

25. Jacobson MZ, Delucchi MA, Bauer ZAF, et al. 100% Clean and renewable wind, water, and sunlight all-sector energy roadmapsfor139 countries of the world. Joule 2017;1(1):108-121; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2017.07.005.

26. Directiva (UE) 2018/2001 del Parlamento Europeo y del Consejo, de 11 de diciembre de 2018, relativa al fomento del uso de energía procedente de fuentes renovables (versión refundida). 2022.

27. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Geopolitics of the energy transformation: the hydrogen factor. 2022.

28. Red Eléctrica de España. El sistema eléctrico español. Avance 2021. 2022.

Policy Review. 2021.

30. Brey JJ. Use of hydrogen as a seasonal energy storage system to manage renewable power deployment in Spain by 2030. Int J Hydrog Energy 2021;46(33):17447-17457; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.04.089.

(Segunda Edición). (Letcher TM. ed) Elsevier: Oxford; 2022;

pp. 459-472; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819727-1.00024-8.

33. Carmo M, Fritz DL, Mergel J, et al. A comprehensive https://doi.org/10.1016/j.



34. Thomassen MS, Reksten AH, Barnett AO, et al. Chapter 50. Hernández-Soto MC, Da Costa-Serra JF, Carratalá J, et 6 - PEM Water Electrolysis. En: Electrochemical power al. Valorization of alcoholic wastes from the vinery industry sources: fundamentals, systems and applications. to produce H2. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(20):9763-(Smolinka T, Garche J. eds) Elsevier; 2022; pp. 199–228; 9770; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.12.067. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819424-9.00013-6.

35. Tesfai A, Irvine JTS. Solid oxide fuel cells: theory and materials. En: Comprehensive renewable energy. (Letcher TM. ed) Elsevier: Oxford; 2012; pp. 274–289; https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819727-1.00195-3.

36. Laguna-Bercero MA. Recent advances in high temperature electrolysis using solid oxide fuel cells: a review. J Power Sources 2012;203:4-16; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2011.12.019.

37. Mamlouk M. 4.19 - Alkaline anion exchange membrane (AEM) water electrolysers-current/future perspectives in electrolysers for hydrogen. En: Comprehensive renewable energy (Segunda Edición). (Letcher TM. ed) Elsevier: Oxford; 2022; pp. 473–504; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819727-1.00103-5.

38. Jannasch P. Aligned for renewable power. Nat Energy 2022;7(4):302-303; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-022-00996-w.

39. Li C, Baek J-B. Thepromiseofhydrogenproduction from alkaline anion exchange membrane electrolyzers. Nano Energy 2021;87:106162; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. nanoen.2021.106162.

40. Navas-Anguita Z, García-Gusano D, Dufour J, et al. Revisiting the role of steam methane reforming with CO2 capture and storage for long-term hydrogen production. Sci Total Environ 2021;771:145432; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2021.145432.

41. U.S. Department of Energy. Department of Energy hydrogen program plan. 2020.

42. Secretary of State for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy. UK hydrogen strategy. 2021.

43. Oltra C, Sala R, Solà R, et al. Lay perceptions of carbon capture and storage technology. Int J Greenh Gas Control 2010;4:698–706; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijggc.2010.02.001.

44. Gobierno de España. PERTE de energías renovables, hidrógeno renovable y almacenamiento. Disponible en: https://planderecuperacion.gob.es/como-accedera-los-fondos/pertes/perte-de-energias-renovableshidrogeno-renovable-y-almacenamiento Último acceso: 5/9/2022].

45. Bauer C, Treyer K, Antonini C, et al. On the climate impacts of blue hydrogen production. 2021; https://doi. org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-hz0qp.

46. Howarth RW, Jacobson MZ. How green is blue hydrogen? Energy Sci Eng 2021;9(10):1676-1687; https:// doi.org/10.1002/ese3.956.

47. Saunois M, Bousquet P, Poulter B, et al. The global methane budget 2000-2012. Earth Syst Sci Data 2016;8(2):697–751; https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-697-2016.

48. Demirbaş A. Biomass resource facilities and biomass conversion processing for fuels and chemicals. Energy 2001;42(11):1357-1378; Convers Manag org/10.1016/S0196-8904(00)00137-0.

49. Lachén J, Herguido J, Peña JA. High purity hydrogen 67. Gondal IA. Hydrogen integration in power-to-gas from biogas via steam iron process: preventing reactor networks. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(3):1803-1815; cloggingbyinterspersedcokecombustions. 2020;151:619-626; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. Energy renene.2019.11.060.

Oficina C

51. Chica A, Barreras F, Dufour J, et al. Hydrogen technologies. En: Volume 8 Clean, safe and efficient energy, Editorial CSIC; 2021; pp. 207-235.

52. Dómenech Martínez P. Informes Técnicos CIEMAT: Tecnologías de producción de hidrógeno basadas en métodos biológicos. 2020.

53. Dincer I. Green methods for hydrogen production. Int J Hydrog Energy 2012;37(2):1954-1971; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.03.173.

54. Acar C, Dincer I. Comparative assessment of hydrogen production methods from renewable and non-renewable sources. Int J Hydrog Energy 2014;39(1):1-12; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.10.060.

55. Moradi R, Groth KM. Hydrogen storage and delivery: review of the state of the art technologies and risk and reliability analysis. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(23):12254-12269; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.03.041.

56. Vidas L, Castro R, Pires A. A review of the impact of hydrogen integration in natural gas distribution networks and electric smart grids. Energies 2022;15(9):3160; https:// doi.org/10.3390/en15093160.

57. Niaz S, Manzoor T, Pandith AH. Hydrogen storage: materials, methods and perspectives. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2015;50:457-469; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2015.05.011.

58. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Global hydrogen trade to meet the 1.5°C climate goal: technology review of hydrogen carriers. 2022.

59. Secretaría de Estado de Energía. Estrategia de almacenamiento energético. 2021.

60. Muhammed NS, Haq B, Al Shehri D, et al. A review on underground hydrogen storage: insight into geological sites, influencing factors and future outlook. Energy Rep 2022;8:461–499; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. egyr.2021.12.002.

61. Sainz-Garcia A, Abarca E, Rubi V, et al. Assessment feasible strategies for seasonal underground of hydrogen storage in a saline aquifer. Int J Hydrog Energy 2017;42(26):16657-16666; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2017.05.076.

62. Simon J, Ferriz AM, Correas LC. HyUnder – Hydrogen underground storage at large scale: case study spain. Energy Procedia 2015;73:136-144; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. egypro.2015.07.661.

63. Caglayan DG, Weber N, Heinrichs HU, et al. Technical potential of salt caverns for hydrogen storage in Europe. Int J Hydrog Energy 2020;45(11):6793-6805; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.161.

64. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Green hydrogen: a guide to policy making. 2020.

65. International Energy Agency (IEA). Global hydrogen review 2022. Paris; 2022.

https://doi. 66. European Hydrogen Backbone. A European hydrogen infrastructure vision covering 28 countries. 2022.

Renew https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2018.11.164.





68. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). 84. Grubler A, Wilson C, Bento N, et al. A low energy Green hydrogen for industry: a guide to policy making. demand scenario for meeting the 1.5 °C target and 2022

69. Griffiths S, Sovacool BK, Kim J, et al. Decarbonizing the oil refining industry: a systematic review of sociotechnical systems, technological innovations, and policy options. Energy Res Soc Sci 2022;89:102542; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102542.

70. Rajabloo T, De Ceuninck W, Van Wortswinkel L, et al. Environmental management of industrial decarbonization with focus on chemical sectors: a review. J Environ 86. van Renssen S. The hydrogen solution? Nat Clim https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 2022;302:114055; Manage jenvman.2021.114055.

integration of green hydrogen: decarbonization of chemical industries. Energies 2020;13(18):4859; https:// doi.org/10.3390/en13184859.

72. European Parliamentary Research Service Scientific 88. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Foresight Unit (STOA). Carbon-free steel production: cost Green Hydrogen Supply: A Guide to Policy Making. Abu reduction options and usage of existing gas infrastructure. Dhabi; 2021. Brussels; 2021.; https://doi.org/10.2861/01969.

73. Ballesteros M, Manzanares P. Liquid biofuels. En: The role of bioenergy in the emerging bioeconomy: resources, technologies, sustainability and policy 2018; pp. 113–144; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813056-8.00003-0.

74. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2021.107624. Hydrogen: a renewable energy perspective. 2019.

75. Kim J, Sovacool BK, Bazilian M, et al. Decarbonizing the iron and steel industry: a systematic review of sociotechnical systems, technological innovations, and policy options. Energy Res Soc Sci 2022;89:102565; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102565.

76. Fennell P, Driver J, Bataille C, et al. Cement and steel nine steps to net zero. Nature 2022;603(7902):574–577; https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-00758-4.

77. Fennell PS, Davis SJ, Mohammed A. Decarbonizing cement production. Joule 2021;5(6):1305-1311; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.joule.2021.04.011.

78. Furszyfer Del Rio DD, Sovacool BK, Foley AM, et al. Decarbonizing the glass industry: a critical and systematic review of developments, sociotechnical systems and policy options. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2022;155:111885; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111885.

analysis of renewable fuels for ships carrying bulk cargo in Europe. Nat Energy 2022;7(2):203-212; https://doi. org/10.1038/s41560-021-00957-9.

80. Smith C, Mouli-Castillo J, van der Horst D, et al. Towards a 100% hydrogen domestic gas network: regulatory and 95. Azcárate C, Blanco R, Mallor F, et al. Peaking strategies commercialbarrierstothefirstdemonstratorprojectinthe for the management of wind-H2 energy systems. United Kingdom. Int J Hydrog Energy 2022;47(55):23071– 23083; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.05.123.

requirements of fossil-free steelmaking using hydrogen renewable power to hydrogen. Nat Energy 2019;4(3):216– direct reduction. J Clean Prod 2021;312:127665; https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127665.

82. International Energy Agency (IEA). Global hydrogen review 2021. 2021; https://doi.org/10.1787/39351842-en.

83. Rosenow J, Eyre N. Reinventing energy efficiency for net zero. Energy Res Soc Sci 2022;90; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.erss.2022.102602.

sustainable development goals without negative emission technologies. Nat Energy 2018;3(6):515–527; https://doi. org/10.1038/s41560-018-0172-6.

85. Seck GS, Hache E, Sabathier J, et al. Hydrogen and the decarbonization of the energy system in europe in 2050: a detailed model-based analysis. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2022;167:112779; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2022.112779.

2020;10(9):799-801; https://doi.org/10.1038/ Change s41558-020-0891-0.

71. Ostadi M, Paso KG, Rodriguez-Fabia S, et al. Process 87. Oliveira AM, Beswick RR, Yan Y. A green hydrogen economy for a renewable energy society. Curr Opin Chem Eng 2021;33:100701; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. coche.2021.100701.

89. García-Casas M, Gálvez-Martos J-L, Dufour

Environmental and economic multi-objective J. optimisation of synthetic fuels production via an integrated methodology based on process simulation. Comput Chem Eng 2022;157:107624; https://doi.

90. Gils HC, Simon S. Carbon neutral archipelago -100% renewable energy supply for the Canary Islands. Appl Energy 2017;188:342-355; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. apenergy.2016.12.023.

91. Curto D, Franzitta V, Viola A, et al. A renewable energy mix to supply small islands. A comparative study applied to Balearic Islands and Fiji. J Clean Prod 2019;241:118356; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118356.

92. Green Hysland: deployment of a H2 ecosystem on the island of Mallorca. Disponible en: https://greenhysland. eu/ [Último acceso: 19/6/2022].

93. Plataforma Tecnológica Española del Hidrógeno y las Pilas de Combustible. Hidrógeno y pilas de combustible. Informe de proyectos I+D+i: entidades de referencia en I+D+i y recursos disponibles en España. 2021.

94. Nkounga WM, Ndiaye MF, Ndiaye ML. Management of intermittent solar and wind energy resources: storage 79. Stolz B, Held M, Georges G, et al. Techno-economic and grid stabilization. En: Sustainable energy access for communities: rethinking the energy agenda for cities. (Fall A, Haas R. eds) Springer International Publishing: Cham; 2022; pp. 109–118; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68410-5_10.

> Renew Energy 2012;47:103-111; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. renene.2012.04.016.

81. Pimm AJ, Cockerill TT, Gale WF. Energy system 96. Glenk G, Reichelstein S. Economics of converting 222; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0326-1.

> 97. Glenk G, Reichelstein S. Reversible power-to-gas systems for energy conversion and storage. Nat Commun 2022;13(1):2010; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29520-0.

> 98. Vidas L, Castro R. Recent developments on hydrogen production technologies: state-of-the-art review with a focus on green-electrolysis. Appl Sci 2021;11(23):11363; https://doi.org/10.3390/app112311363.



99. Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy, 117. Mori M, Stropnik R, Sekavčnik M, et al. Criticality and Government of the Netherlands. Government strategy on life-cycle assessment of materials used in fuel-cell and hydrogen. 2020.

100. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Smart electrification with renewables: driving the transformation of energy services. 2022.

101. Judge MA, Khan A, Manzoor A, et al. Overview of smart grid implementation: frameworks, impact, performance and challenges. J Energy Storage 2022;49:104056; https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2022.104056.

102. Sarwar M, Asad B. A review on future power systems; technologies and research for smart grids. En: 2016 International Conference on Emerging Technologies (ICET) 2016; pp. 1-6; https://doi.org/10.1109/ICET.2016.7813247.

103. Oliveira C, Botelho DF, Soares T, et al. Consumercentric electricity markets: a comprehensive review on user preferences and key performance indicators. Electr Power Syst Res 2022;210; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. epsr.2022.108088.

104. Asamblea Ciudadana para el Clima. Una España más justay segura ante el cambio climático ¿cómo lo hacemos?: informe final de recomendaciones. 2022. Disponible en: https://asambleaciudadanadelcambioclimatico.es/wpcontent/uploads/2022/06/Informe-recomendaciones- hydrogenproductionfromNH3,CH4,andbiogasinstacked Asamblea-Ciudadana-Clima.pdf [Último acceso: 19/6/2022].

105. United States Department of Energy. Smart grid 123. Serra JM, Borrás-Morell JF, García-Baños B, et al. system report: 2018 report to Congress. 2018 2018;93.

106. Vakulchuk R, Overland I, Scholten D. Renewable energy and geopolitics: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2020;122:109547; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. rser.2019.109547.

107. Hawk C, Kaushiva A. Cybersecurity and the smartergrid. Electr J 2014;27(8):84-95; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tej.2014.08.008.

108. Ley 8/2011, de 28 de abril, por la que se establecen medidas para la protección de las infraestructuras críticas. 2011.

109. Gutiérrez JL, Jiménez FS, Sánchez DH, et al. Estudio sobre la cibercriminalidad en España. Ministerio del Interior. Gobierno de España. 2020;62.

110. Oficina de Ciencia y Tecnología del Congreso de los Diputados (Oficina C). Ínforme C: Ciberseguridad. 2022; https://doi.org/10.57952/c8hy-6c31.

111. International Energy Agency (IEA), International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), UN Climate Change High-Level Champions (UNCC HLC). The breakthrough agenda report 2022. 2022.

112. Wiser R, Jenni K, Seel J, et al. Expert elicitation survey on future wind energy costs. Nat Energy 2016;1(10):1-8; https://doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.135.

solar PV power. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2018;92:744-756; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.098.

114. Luderer G, Madeddu S, Merfort L, et al. Impact of declining renewable energy costs on electrification in low-emission scenarios. Nat Energy 2022;7(1):32-42; un marco para el establecimiento de requisitos de diseño https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00937-z.

115. Glenk G, Meier R, Reichelstein S. Cost dynamics of clean energy technologies. Schmalenbach J Bus Res 131. Puentes Fernández R. El hidrógeno a la carrera hacia la 2021;73(2):179–206; https://doi.org/10.1007/s41471-021- transición energética. Cuad Energ 2021;66:122–131. 00114-8.

116. International Energy Agency (IEA). The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions. 2022.

hydrogen technologies. Sustain Switz 2021;13(6); https:// doi.org/10.3390/su13063565.

118. Comisión Europea. Comunicación de la Comisión al Parlamento Europeo, al Consejo, al Comité Económico y Social Europeo y al Comité de las Regiones. Resiliencia de las materias primas fundamentales: trazando el camino hacia un mayor grado de seguridad y sostenibilidad. 2020.

119. Valero A, Valero A, Calvo G, et al. Material bottlenecks in the future development of green technologies. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2018;93:178-200; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.05.041.

120. Retuerto M, Pascual L, Calle-Vallejo F, et al. Na-doped ruthenium perovskite electrocatalysts with improved oxygen evolution activity and durability in acidic media. Nat Commun 2019;10(1):2041; https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-019-09791-w.

121. Pinzón M, Sánchez-Sánchez A, Sánchez P, et al. Ammonia as a carrier for hydrogen production by using lanthanum based perovskites. Energy Convers Manag 2021;246:114681; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114681.

122. Clark D, Malerød-Fjeld H, Budd M, et al. Single-step proton ceramic reactors. Science 2022;376(6591):390-393; https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj3951.

Hydrogen production via microwave-induced water splitting at low temperature. Nat Energy 2020;5(11):910-919; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-00720-6.

124. Berges C, Wain A, Andújar R, et al. Fused filament fabrication for anode supported SOFC development: Towards advanced, scalable and cost-competitive energetic systems. Int J Hydrog Energy 2021;46(51):26174-26184; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.02.097.

125. García G, Alcaide Monterrubio F, Pastor E. Chapter 11 - Graphene materials for the electrocatalysts used for fuel cells and electrolyzers. En: Emerging carbon materials for catalysis. (Sadjadi S. ed) Elsevier; 2021; pp. 389-415; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817561-3.00011-1.

126. Gielen D. Critical materials for the energy transition. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA): Abu Dhabi; 2021.

127. Laube A, Hofer A, Ressel S, et al. PEM water electrolysis cells with catalyst coating by atomic layer deposition. Int J Hydrog Energy 2021;46(79):38972-38982; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.09.153.

128.NavarreteL,HannahanC,SerraJM.Reversibleelectrodes based on B-site substituted Ba0.5Sr0.5Co0.8Fe0.2O3-II for intermediate temperature solid-oxide cells. Solid State Ion 2022;376; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssi.2021.115851

129. Férriz AM, Bernad A, Mori M, et al. End-of-life of fuel 113. Comello S, Reichelstein S, Sahoo A. The road ahead for cell and hydrogen products: a state of the art. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(25):12872-12879; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2018.09.176.

> 130. Directiva 2009/125/CE del Parlamento Europeo y del Consejo de 21 de octubre de 2009 por la que se instaura ecológico aplicables a los productos relacionados con la energía (Refundición). 2012.

FECYT

î NA

132. The European Network for Transmission System 149. Sovacool BK, Newell P, Carley S, et al. Equity, Operators for Gas (ENTSOG), Gas Infrastructure Europe technological innovation and sustainable behaviour in a (GIE), Hydrogen Europe. How to transport and store low-carbon future. Nat Hum Behav 2022;6(3):326–337; hydrogen – facts and figures. Belgium; 2021.

133. International Energy Agency (IEA). Hydrogen. Paris; 2021. Disponible en: https://www.iea.org/reports/ hydrogen [Último acceso: 17/10/2022].

134. Speirs J, Balcombe P, Johnson E, et al. A greener gas grid: what are the options. Energy Policy 2018;118:291–297; 151. Valente A, Iribarren D, Dufour J. Harmonised lifehttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.03.069.

135. Kemfert C, Präger F, Braunger I, et al. The expansion of natural gas infrastructure puts energy transitions at risk. Nat Energy 2022;1–6; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-152. Iribarren D, Valente A, Dufour J. IEA Hydrogen Task 36 022-01060-3.

136. Iglesias R, Muñoz R, Polanco M, et al. Biogas from anaerobic digestion as an energy vector: current upgrading development. Energies 2021;14(10):2742; https://doi.org/10.3390/en14102742

137. The European Network for Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSOG). ENTSOG Roadmap 2050 for 154. Valente A, Iribarren D, Dufour J. Comparative life cycle gas grids. Brussels; 2019.

138. The European Network for Transmission System Operators for Gas (ENTSOG). Hydrogen project visualisation platform. Disponible en: https://h2-projectvisualisation-platform.entsog.eu [Último 26/9/2022].

139. Griffiths S, Sovacool BK, Kim J, et al. Industrial decarbonization via hydrogen: a critical and systematic review of developments, socio-technical systems 156. Valente A, Iribarren D, Dufour J. Prospective carbon and policy options. 2021; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. erss.2021.102208.

140. Candelaresi D, Valente A, Iribarren D, et al. Comparative life cycle assessment of hydrogen-fuelled passenger cars. 157. Valente A, Iribarren D, Candelaresi D, et al. Using Int J Hydrog Energy 2021;46(72):35961–35973; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.01.034.

141. Brown D, Flickenschild M, Mazzi C, et al. The future of the EU automotive sector. European Parliament; 2021.

142. Bakker G. Infrastructure killed the electric car. Nat 2021;6(10):947-948; https://doi.org/10.1038/ Energy s41560-021-00902-w.

143. Hydrogen Council. Roadmap towards zero emissions: the complementary role of BEVs and FCEVs. 2021.

144. Plötz P. Hydrogen technology is unlikely to play a major role in sustainable road transport. Nat Electron 2022;5(1):8-10; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41928-021-00706-6.

145. Moriarty P, Honnery D. Prospects for hydrogen as a transport fuel. Int J Hydrog Energy 2019;44(31):16029-16037; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.278.

146. Anandarajah G, McDowall W, Ekins P. Decarbonising road transport with hydrogen and electricity: long term global technology learning scenarios. Int J Hydrog Energy 2013;38(8):3419–3432; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2012.12.110.

147. Acar C, Beskese A, Temur GT. Comparative fuel cell sustainability assessment with a novel approach. Int J Hydrog Energy 2022;47(1):575-594; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.10.034.

148. Valente A, Iribarren D, Dufour J. Validation of GreenH2armony® as a tool for the computation of harmonised life-cycle indicators of hydrogen. Energies 2020;13(7); https://doi.org/10.3390/en13071603.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01257-8.

Oficina C

150. Warwick N, Griffiths P, Keeble J, et al. Atmospheric implications of increased hydrogen use. Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy: United Kingdom; 2022.

cycle global warming impact of renewable hydrogen. J Clean Prod 2017;149:762-772; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2017.02.163.

 Life cycle sustainability assessment of hydrogen energy systems - Final report. 2018.

153. IPHE Hydrogen Production Analysis Task Force. 2021;14(10):2742; Methodology for determining the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the production of hydrogen. 2021.

> sustainability assessment of renewable and conventional hydrogen. Sci Total Environ 2021;756:144132; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144132.

155. Valente A, Iribarren D, Dufour J. Cumulative energy acceso: demand of hydrogen energy systems. En: Environmental footprints and eco-design of products and processes. Energy footprints of the energy sector Springer; 2019; pp. 47-75; https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2457-4_2.

> footprintcomparisonofhydrogenoptions. Sci Total Environ 2020;728:138212; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.138212.

> harmonised life-cycle indicators to explore the role of hydrogen in the environmental performance of fuel cell electric vehicles. Int J Hydrog Energy 2020;45(47):25758-25765; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.09.059.

> 158. Hormaza Mejia A, Brouwer J, Mac Kinnon M. Hydrogen leaks at the same rate as natural gas in typical low-pressure gas infrastructure. Int J Hydrog Energy 2020;45(15):8810-8826; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.159.

> 159. Ocko IB, Hamburg SP. Climate consequences of hydrogen emissions. Atmospheric Chem Phys 2022;22(14):9349-9368; https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-9349-2022.

> 160. Arrigoni A, Bravo Diaz L. Hydrogen emissions from a hydrogen economy and their potential global warming impact. Luxembourg; 2022.; https://doi. org/10.2760/065589.

> 161. International Energy Agency (IEA). Water-energy nexus. Paris; 2017.

> 162. Beswick RR, Oliveira AM, Yan Y. Does the green hydrogen economy have a water problem? ACS Energy 2021;6(9):3167-3169; Lett https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsenergylett.1c01375.

> 163. Dresp S, Dionigi F, Klingenhof M, et al. Direct electrolytic splitting of seawater: opportunities and challenges. ACS Energy Lett 2019; https://doi.org/10.1021/ acsenergylett.9b00220.

> 164. Delpisheh M, Haghghi MA, Athari H, et al. Desalinated water and hydrogen generation from seawater via a desalination unit and a low temperature electrolysis using a novel solar-based setup. Int J Hydrog Energy 2021;46(10):7211-7229; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2020.11.215.

165. Farràs P, Strasser P, Cowan AJ. Water electrolysis: 182. Johnson OW, Han JY-C, Knight A-L, et al. direct from the sea or not to be? Joule 2021;5(8):1921–1923; Intersectionality and energy transitions: a review of gender, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2021.07.014.

166. Gao F-Y, Yu P-C, Gao M-R. Seawater electrolysis technologies for green hydrogen production: challenges 183. Sovacool BK. Who are the victims of low-carbon and opportunities. Curr Opin Chem Eng 2022;36:100827; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2022.100827.

167. Tong W, Forster M, Dionigi F, et al. Electrolysis of lowgrade and saline surface water. Nat Energy 2020;5(5):367-377; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-0550-8.

168. Hausmann JN, Schlögl R, Menezes PW, et al. Is direct seawater splitting economically meaningful? Energy Environ Sci 2021;14(7):3679-3685; https://doi.org/10.1039/ DOEE03659E.

169. d'Amore-Domenech R, Santiago Ó, Leo TJ. Multicriteria analysis of seawater electrolysis technologies for green hydrogen production at sea. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2020;133:110166; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110166.

170. Bradley D. Hydrogen from seawater without decay or delay. Mater Today 2019;26:3; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mattod.2019.04.009.

171. Terrapon-Pfaff J, Ortiz W, Dienst C, et al. Energising the WEF nexus to enhance sustainable development at local level. J Environ Manage 2018;223:409–416; https://doi. 188. McDowall W. Exploring possible transition pathways org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.06.037.

172. Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, Public Relations Division. The national hydrogen strategy. Berlin; 2020.

173. Gouvernement de la République française. Stratégie nationale pour le développement de l'hydrogène décarboné en France. 2020.

174. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA). Patent insight report: innovation trends in electrolysers for hydrogen production. 2022.

175. Plataforma Tecnológica Española del Hidrógeno y las Pilas de Combustible. Hidrógeno y pilas de combustible: catálogo de capacidades tecnológicas. Entidades de referencia en I+D+i y recursos tecnológicos disponibles en España. 2022.

176. Asociación Española del Hidrógeno (AeH2). Guía de socios. 2021.

177. McCay MH, Shafiee S. 22 – Hydrogen: an energy carrier. En: Future energy (Tercera Edición). (Letcher TM. ed) Elsevier; 2020; pp. 475-493; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102886-5.00022-0.

178. Reijalt M. Hydrogen and fuel cell education in Europe: from when? And where? To here! And now! J Clean Prod 2010;18:S112-S117; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2010.05.017.

179. Van de Graaf T, Overland I, Scholten D, et al. The new oil? The geopolitics and international governance of hydrogen. Energy Res Soc Sci 2020;70:101667; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101667.

180. Yang S, Ma K, Liu Z, et al. Chapter 5 - Development and applicability of life cycle Impact assessment methodologies. En: Life cycle sustainability assessment for decision-making. (Ren J, Toniolo S. eds) Elsevier; 2020;

pp. 95-124; https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818355-7.00005-1.

181. Zamagni A. Life cycle sustainability assessment. Int J Life Cycle Assess 2012;17(4):373-376; https://doi. recomendacioneslegislativasparaelsectordelhidrógeno org/10.1007/s11367-012-0389-8.

social equity and low-carbon energy. Energy Res Soc Sci 2020;70:101774; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101774.

Oficina C

transitions? Towards a political ecology of climate change mitigation. Energy Res Soc Sci 2021;73:101916; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.101916.

184. Thiery W, Lange S, Rogelj J, et al. Intergenerational inequities in exposure to climate extremes. Science 2021;374(6564):158-160; https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abi7339.

185. Sunter DA, Castellanos S, Kammen DM. Disparities in rooftop photovoltaics deployment in the United States by race and ethnicity. Nat Sustain 2019;2(1):71-76; https://doi. org/10.1038/s41893-018-0204-z.

186. United Nations Environment Programme. Guidelines for social life cycle assessment of products and organisations 2020. 2020.

187.HessDJ,SovacoolBK.Sociotechnicalmatters:reviewing and integrating science and technology studies with energy social science. Energy Res Soc Sci 2020;65:101462; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101462.

for hydrogen energy: a hybrid approach using sociotechnical scenarios and energy system modelling. Futures 2014;63:1-14; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2014.07.004.

189. Upham P, Dütschke E, Schneider U, et al. Agency and structure in a sociotechnical transition: hydrogen fuel cells, conjunctural knowledge and structuration in Europe. Energy Res Soc Sci 2018;37:163-174; https://doi. org/10.1016/j.erss.2017.09.040.

190. IPHE Hydrogen Trade Rules Task Force. International trade rules for hydrogen and its carriers: information and issues for consideration. 2022.

191. Comité CTN 181 Tecnologías del hidrógeno. Disponible en: https://www.une.org/encuentra-tu-norma/comitestecnicos-de-normalizacion/comite?c=CTN+181 [Último acceso: 18/4/2022].

192. Real Decreto 681/2003, de 12 de junio, sobre la protección de la salud y la seguridad de los trabajadores expuestos a los riesgos derivados de atmósferas explosivas en el lugar de trabajo. 2003.

193. Real Decreto 144/2016, de 8 de abril, por el que se establecen los requisitos esenciales de salud y seguridad exigibles a los aparatos y sistemas de protección para su uso en atmósferas potencialmente explosivas y por el que se modifica el Real Decreto 455/2012, de 5 de marzo, por el que se establecen las medidas destinadas a reducir la cantidad de vapores de gasolina emitidos a la atmósfera durante el repostaje de los vehículos de motor en las estaciones de servicio. 2016.

194. National Fire Protection Association. Hydrogen technologies code. 2020.

195. Khalil DYF. Hydrogen safety task 37: final report. Hydrog TCP Int Energy Agency 2021.

196. Wen JX, Marono M, Moretto P, et al. Statistics, lessons learned and recommendations from analysis of HIAD 2.0 database. Int J Hydrog Energy 2022;47(38):17082-17096; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.03.170.

197. Bernad A, Zarzuela M, Férriz AM, et al. Informe de en España, Proyecto HyLaw. 2018.





198.ButtnerW,RivkinC,BurgessR,etal.Hydrogenmonitoring 202. Chilvers J, Bellamy R, Pallett H, et al. A systemic requirements in the global technical regulation on hydrogen approach to mapping participation with low-carbon and fuel cell vehicles. Int J Hydrog Energy 2017;42(11):7664– 7671; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2016.06.053.

conditional? The conditionality of hydrogen fuel cell in technology acceptance management: reflections expectations in five European countries. Energy Res Soc Sci on the case of hydrogen fuel cells in Europe. J Clean 2020;70:101722; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101722.

200. Iribarren D, Martín-Gamboa M, Manzano J, et al. Assessing the social acceptance of hydrogen for 204. Huijts NMA, Molin EJE, Steg L. Psychological factors transportation in Spain: an unintentional focus on target influencing sustainable energy technology acceptance: a population for a potential hydrogen economy. Int J Hydrog review-based comprehensive framework. Renew Sustain . Energy 2016;41(10):5203–5208; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. Energy Rev 2012;16(1):525–531; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2016.01.139.

201. Bidwell D. Thinking through participation in renewable 205. energy decisions. Nat Energy 2016;1(5):1–4; https://doi. technology acceptance: a critical review. Int J Hydrog org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.51.

energy transitions. Nat Energy 2021;6(3):250–259; https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41560-020-00762-w.

199. Upham P, Bögel P, Dütschke E, et al. The revolution is 203. Bögel P, Oltra C, Sala R, et al. The role of attitudes 2018;188:125–135; Prod https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2018.03.266.

rser.2011.08.018.

Scovell MD. Explaining hydrogen energy Energy 2022;47(19):10441-10459; https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijhydene.2022.01.099.

